





Kalman Filter-Based Localisation Localising with a Gaussian Uncertainty Model

Dr. Alex Mitrevski Master of Autonomous Systems

Structure



- Localisation preliminaries
- Kalman filter







Localisation Preliminaries









In order for a robot to move purposefully (e.g. find path plans as discussed in the last lecture), it needs to know its whereabouts in the environment











- In order for a robot to move purposefully (e.g. find path plans as discussed in the last lecture), it needs to know its whereabouts in the environment
- The process that determines a robot's pose in an environment is called localisation









- In order for a robot to move purposefully (e.g. find path plans as discussed in the last lecture), it needs to know its whereabouts in the environment
- The process that determines a robot's pose in an environment is called localisation
- The localisation process is performed with respect to a given map — thus, localisation requires a map to be given
 - The process of creating a map and localising is called simultaneous localisation and mapping (SLAM)









- In order for a robot to move purposefully (e.g. find path plans as discussed in the last lecture), it needs to know its whereabouts in the environment
- The process that determines a robot's pose in an environment is called localisation
- The localisation process is performed with respect to a given map — thus, localisation requires a map to be given
 - The process of creating a map and localising is called simultaneous localisation and mapping (SLAM)
- Since a robot moves around, the localisation estimate needs to be continuously updated based on the known motion commands and any relevant environment observations









- In order for a robot to move purposefully (e.g. find path plans as discussed in the last lecture), it needs to know its whereabouts in the environment
- The process that determines a robot's pose in an environment is called localisation
- The localisation process is performed with respect to a given map — thus, localisation requires a map to be given
 - The process of creating a map and localising is called simultaneous localisation and mapping (SLAM)
- Since a robot moves around, the localisation estimate needs to be continuously updated based on the known motion commands and any relevant environment observations

Localisation is a process of estimating the pose of a mobile robot in a given environment as the robot moves around and collects sensor measurements









Pose Uncertainty and Belief





- Due to noisy motions and sensor measurements, a robot is typically never fully certain about its pose in the environment
 - ▶ The pose estimate is always associated with some uncertainty









Pose Uncertainty and Belief



- Due to noisy motions and sensor measurements, a robot is typically never fully certain about its pose in the environment
 - ▶ The pose estimate is always associated with some uncertainty

► For this reason, pose estimates are represented by a belief bel (x_t), which models the probability distribution

$$\operatorname{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta \, p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{u}_{0:t}, \boldsymbol{z}_{1:t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0:t-1}\right)$$

where ${\pmb x}$ represents a state, ${\pmb u}$ is a control signal, ${\pmb z}$ is a measurement, and η is a normalisation constant







Pose Uncertainty and Belief



- Due to noisy motions and sensor measurements, a robot is typically never fully certain about its pose in the environment
 - ▶ The pose estimate is always associated with some uncertainty
- ► For this reason, pose estimates are represented by a belief bel (x_t), which models the probability distribution

$$\operatorname{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta \, p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{u}_{0:t}, \boldsymbol{z}_{1:t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0:t-1}\right)$$

where ${\pmb x}$ represents a state, ${\pmb u}$ is a control signal, ${\pmb z}$ is a measurement, and η is a normalisation constant

The belief is continuously updated as a robot moves around and collects measurements of the environment







Kidnapped Robot Problem

In the context of localisation, it is important to consider whether a robot can recover from catastrophic localisation failures







Kidnapped Robot Problem

- In the context of localisation, it is important to consider whether a robot can recover from catastrophic localisation failures
- The kidnapped robot problem refers to a complete localisation loss as if a robot has been kidnapped by someone and brought to a completely different location than where it started







Kidnapped Robot Problem

- In the context of localisation, it is important to consider whether a robot can recover from catastrophic localisation failures
- The kidnapped robot problem refers to a complete localisation loss as if a robot has been kidnapped by someone and brought to a completely different location than where it started
- Ideally, a localisation method should be robust to the kidnapped robot problem
 - Robustness requires a possibility to discard the current localisation estimate as wrong so that a new estimate can be made









The process of continuously updating the belief based on performed motions and recorded measurements is called recursive state estimation; this is performed using a Bayes filter











- The process of continuously updating the belief based on performed motions and recorded measurements is called recursive state estimation; this is performed using a Bayes filter
- ► The Bayes filter continuously performs two steps:









- The process of continuously updating the belief based on performed motions and recorded measurements is called recursive state estimation; this is performed using a Bayes filter
- ▶ The Bayes filter continuously performs two steps:

Control update (prediction)

The belief is updated based on a performed motion \boldsymbol{u}_t :

$$\overline{\mathrm{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \int p(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}) \mathrm{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}) d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$$











- The process of continuously updating the belief based on performed motions and recorded measurements is called recursive state estimation; this is performed using a Bayes filter
- Probabilistic ROBOTICS

► The Bayes filter continuously performs two steps:

Control update (prediction)

The belief is updated based on a performed motion u_t :

$$\overline{\mathrm{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \int p(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}) \mathrm{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}) \, d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$$

Measurement update (correction)

The belief is corrected based on a sensor measurement z_t :

$$\operatorname{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta p(\boldsymbol{z}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_t) \,\overline{\operatorname{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$$









- The process of continuously updating the belief based on performed motions and recorded measurements is called recursive state estimation; this is performed using a Bayes filter
- Probabilities R D B O T I C S Market States

► The Bayes filter continuously performs two steps:

Control update (prediction)

The belief is updated based on a performed motion \boldsymbol{u}_t :

$$\overline{\mathrm{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \int p(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}) \mathrm{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}) d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$$

Measurement update (correction)

The belief is corrected based on a sensor measurement z_t :

$$\operatorname{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta \, p\left(\boldsymbol{z}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_t\right) \, \overline{\operatorname{bel}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_t\right)$$

▶ The control update increases the pose uncertainty, while the measurement update decreases it







- The process of continuously updating the belief based on performed motions and recorded measurements is called recursive state estimation; this is performed using a Bayes filter
- Propublicity R D B D T L C S Market Market Based

► The Bayes filter continuously performs two steps:

Control update (prediction)

The belief is updated based on a performed motion \boldsymbol{u}_t :

$$\overline{\mathrm{bel}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}\right) = \int p\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} | \boldsymbol{u}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}\right) \mathrm{bel}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}\right) d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$$

Measurement update (correction)

The belief is corrected based on a sensor measurement z_t :

$$\operatorname{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta p(\boldsymbol{z}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_t) \,\overline{\operatorname{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$$

- ► The control update increases the pose uncertainty, while the measurement update decreases it
- ▶ Both update equations are based on the Markov assumption, according to which:
 - \blacktriangleright $oldsymbol{x}_t$ only depends on $oldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$ and $oldsymbol{u}_t$
 - \blacktriangleright $oldsymbol{z}_t$ only depends on $oldsymbol{x}_t$





- The process of continuously updating the belief based on performed motions and recorded measurements is called recursive state estimation; this is performed using a Bayes filter
- ► The Bayes filter continuously performs two steps:

Control update (prediction)

The belief is updated based on a performed motion \boldsymbol{u}_t :

$$\overline{\mathrm{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \int p(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}) \mathrm{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}) d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$$

Measurement update (correction)

The belief is corrected based on a sensor measurement z_t :

$$\operatorname{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta p(\boldsymbol{z}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_t) \,\overline{\operatorname{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$$

- ► The control update increases the pose uncertainty, while the measurement update decreases it
- ▶ Both update equations are based on the Markov assumption, according to which:
 - \blacktriangleright $oldsymbol{x}_t$ only depends on $oldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$ and $oldsymbol{u}_t$
 - \blacktriangleright $oldsymbol{z}_t$ only depends on $oldsymbol{x}_t$

▶ More background details behind the Bayes filter are covered in "Mathematics for Robotics and







The Bayes filter is not a single algorithm, but a family of algorithms, each of which is based on different assumptions







- The Bayes filter is not a single algorithm, but a family of algorithms, each of which is based on different assumptions
- We will particularly distinguish between the following variants of the recursive Bayes filter as applied to the robot localisation problem:
 - ▶ Discrete Bayes filter: Applicable when the state is discretised (discussed in MRC)









- The Bayes filter is not a single algorithm, but a family of algorithms, each of which is based on different assumptions
- We will particularly distinguish between the following variants of the recursive Bayes filter as applied to the robot localisation problem:
 - ▶ Discrete Bayes filter: Applicable when the state is discretised (discussed in MRC)
 - ► Kalman filter: Assumes that the state is governed by a Gaussian distribution (discussed today)









- The Bayes filter is not a single algorithm, but a family of algorithms, each of which is based on different assumptions
- We will particularly distinguish between the following variants of the recursive Bayes filter as applied to the robot localisation problem:
 - ▶ Discrete Bayes filter: Applicable when the state is discretised (discussed in MRC)
 - ► Kalman filter: Assumes that the state is governed by a Gaussian distribution (discussed today)
 - Particle filter: Does not make an assumption about the underlying state distribution; represents multiple hypotheses about the state by a set of particles (discussed next time)









Kalman Filter









The Kalman filter is a Bayes filter that can be used for continuous state and measurement spaces







- The Kalman filter is a Bayes filter that can be used for continuous state and measurement spaces
- ▶ In a Kalman filter, the belief about the state is represented by a Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$
 - \blacktriangleright The estimation thus performs updates of the mean μ and the covariance matrix Σ







- The Kalman filter is a Bayes filter that can be used for continuous state and measurement spaces
- In a Kalman filter, the belief about the state is represented by a Gaussian distribution N(μ, Σ)
 The estimation thus performs updates of the mean μ and the covariance matrix Σ

▶ Recall that a multivariate Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ for an *n*-dimensional \boldsymbol{x} has the form

$$\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n \det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})}$$







- The Kalman filter is a Bayes filter that can be used for continuous state and measurement spaces
- In a Kalman filter, the belief about the state is represented by a Gaussian distribution N(μ, Σ)
 The estimation thus performs updates of the mean μ and the covariance matrix Σ
- ▶ Recall that a multivariate Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ for an *n*-dimensional \boldsymbol{x} has the form

$$\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n \det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}$$

Considering a state x_t and an estimate \hat{x}_t , the filter is **optimal** in the sense that it minimises the mean squared error

$$MSE\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}\right) = \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} - \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t}\right)^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} - \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t}\right)$$







Linear Motion and Measurement Models



► The Kalman filter assumes that the motion and measurement models are both linear, and that the initial state distribution is governed by a Gaussian distribution; under these assumptions, the posterior state estimate is also a Gaussian







Linear Motion and Measurement Models



- ► The Kalman filter assumes that the motion and measurement models are both linear, and that the initial state distribution is governed by a Gaussian distribution; under these assumptions, the posterior state estimate is also a Gaussian
- This means that the motion model is governed by a linear system of the form

$$\boldsymbol{x}_t = A_t \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + B_t \boldsymbol{u}_t + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t$$

where A_t is a state transition matrix, B_t is a process matrix, and $\epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, R_t)$ is process noise









Linear Motion and Measurement Models



- ► The Kalman filter assumes that the motion and measurement models are both linear, and that the initial state distribution is governed by a Gaussian distribution; under these assumptions, the posterior state estimate is also a Gaussian
- This means that the motion model is governed by a linear system of the form

$$\boldsymbol{x}_t = A_t \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + B_t \boldsymbol{u}_t + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t$$

where A_t is a state transition matrix, B_t is a process matrix, and $\epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, R_t)$ is process noise

The measurement model is also given by a linear system, which is represented as

$$\boldsymbol{z}_t = C_t \boldsymbol{x}_t + \boldsymbol{\delta}_t$$

where C_t is a measurement matrix and $\boldsymbol{\delta}_t \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, Q_t\right)$ is measurement noise





Kalman Filter Intuition





Figure 3.2 Illustration of Kalman filters: (a) initial belief, (b) a measurement (in bold) with the associated uncertainty, (c) belief after integrating the measurement into the belief using the Kalman filter algorithm, (d) belief after motion to the right (which introduces uncertainty), (c) a new measurement with associated uncertainty, and (1) the resulting belief.

 A Kalman filter is a Bayes filter, so, at its core, it performs state and measurements updates









Kalman Filter Intuition



Figure 3.2 Illustration of Kalman filters: (a) initial belief, (b) a measurement (in bold) with the associated uncertainty, (c) belief after integrating the measurement into the belief using the Kalman filter algorithm, (d) belief after motion to the right (which introduces uncertainty), (c) a new measurement with associated uncertainty, and (1) the resulting belief.



- A Kalman filter is a Bayes filter, so, at its core, it performs state and measurements updates
- Often, x₀ is assumed to be known without ambiguity; thus, it is represented by Dirac delta function, in which case μ₀ = δ (x₀) and Σ = 0







Kalman Filter Intuition



Figure 3.2 Illustration of Kalman filters: (a) initial belief, (b) a measurement (in bold) with the associated uncertainty, (c) belief after integrating the measurement into the belief using the Kalman filter algorithm, (d) belief after motion to the right (which introduces uncertainty), (c) a new measurement with associated uncertainty, and (1) the resulting belief.

- A Kalman filter is a Bayes filter, so, at its core, it performs state and measurements updates
- Often, x₀ is assumed to be known without ambiguity; thus, it is represented by Dirac delta function, in which case μ₀ = δ (x₀) and Σ = 0
- At any other time t, the state estimate is given by a Gaussian N (μ_{t-1}, Σ_{t-1}), such that the objective is to find an updated Gaussian N (μ_t, Σ_t)
 - The estimate should incorporate a motion update and a measurement update, which are governed by the previously discussed linear motion model






1. The state is updated based on the controlled motion:

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t = A_t \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1} + B_t \boldsymbol{u}_t$$











1. The state is updated based on the controlled motion:

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t = A_t \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1} + B_t \boldsymbol{u}_t$$

2. The covariance is updated based on the controlled motion by considering the motion noise:

$$\overline{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$$









1. The state is updated based on the controlled motion:

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t = A_t \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1} + B_t \boldsymbol{u}_t$$

2. The covariance is updated based on the controlled motion by considering the motion noise:

$$\overline{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$$

3. The Kalman gain is computed using the updated covariance and the measurement noise:

$$K_t = \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t^T \left(C_t \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t \right)^{-1}$$









1. The state is updated based on the controlled motion:

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t = A_t \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1} + B_t \boldsymbol{u}_t$$

2. The covariance is updated based on the controlled motion by considering the motion noise:

$$\overline{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$$

3. The Kalman gain is computed using the updated covariance and the measurement noise:

$$K_t = \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t^T \left(C_t \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t \right)^{-1}$$

4. The state and the covariance are updated based on the measurement:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{t} = \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{t} + K_{t} \left(\boldsymbol{z}_{t} - C_{t} \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{t} \right)$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t} = \left(I - K_{t} C_{t} \right) \overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{t}$$



















The derivation of the Kalman filter equations is a bit involved, but can be summarised through the following steps:

1. Expanding $\overline{\text{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ by substituting for $p(\boldsymbol{x}_t|\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$ and $\text{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$, both of which are Gaussian distributions; this results in a belief representation of the form $\overline{\text{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta \int e^{-L_t} d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$









- 1. Expanding $\overline{\text{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ by substituting for $p(\boldsymbol{x}_t|\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$ and $\text{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$, both of which are Gaussian distributions; this results in a belief representation of the form $\overline{\text{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta \int e^{-L_t} d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$
- 2. Rewriting L_t in the form $L_t = L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t) + L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ so that $\overline{\mathrm{bel}} (\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta e^{-L_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)} \int L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t) d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$









- 1. Expanding $\overline{\text{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ by substituting for $p(\boldsymbol{x}_t|\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$ and $\text{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$, both of which are Gaussian distributions; this results in a belief representation of the form $\overline{\text{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta \int e^{-L_t} d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$
- 2. Rewriting L_t in the form $L_t = L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t) + L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ so that $\overline{\mathrm{bel}} (\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta e^{-L_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)} \int L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t) d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$
- 3. Selecting $L_t(x_t)$ to be a quadratic function with a constant $\int L_t(x_{t-1}, x_t) dx_{t-1}$; as a result, $\overline{\text{bel}}(x_t) = \eta e^{-L_t(x_t)}$











- 1. Expanding $\overline{\text{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ by substituting for $p(\boldsymbol{x}_t|\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$ and $\text{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$, both of which are Gaussian distributions; this results in a belief representation of the form $\overline{\text{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta \int e^{-L_t} d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$
- 2. Rewriting L_t in the form $L_t = L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t) + L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ so that $\overline{\mathrm{bel}} (\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta e^{-L_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)} \int L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t) d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$
- 3. Selecting $L_t(x_t)$ to be a quadratic function with a constant $\int L_t(x_{t-1}, x_t) dx_{t-1}$; as a result, $\overline{\text{bel}}(x_t) = \eta e^{-L_t(x_t)}$
- 4. Determining $L_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ from L_t and $L_t(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t)$; this results in a quadratic function, which means that $\overline{\mathrm{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ is a Gaussian distribution









- 1. Expanding $\overline{\text{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ by substituting for $p(\boldsymbol{x}_t|\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$ and $\text{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$, both of which are Gaussian distributions; this results in a belief representation of the form $\overline{\text{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta \int e^{-L_t} d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$
- 2. Rewriting L_t in the form $L_t = L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t) + L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ so that $\overline{\mathrm{bel}} (\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta e^{-L_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)} \int L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t) d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$
- 3. Selecting $L_t(x_t)$ to be a quadratic function with a constant $\int L_t(x_{t-1}, x_t) dx_{t-1}$; as a result, $\overline{\text{bel}}(x_t) = \eta e^{-L_t(x_t)}$
- 4. Determining $L_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ from L_t and $L_t(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t)$; this results in a quadratic function, which means that $\overline{\text{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ is a Gaussian distribution
 - For the mean of the updated distribution is determined by the minimum of $L_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ over \boldsymbol{x}_t









- 1. Expanding $\overline{\text{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ by substituting for $p(\boldsymbol{x}_t|\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$ and $\text{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$, both of which are Gaussian distributions; this results in a belief representation of the form $\overline{\text{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta \int e^{-L_t} d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$
- 2. Rewriting L_t in the form $L_t = L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t) + L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ so that $\overline{\mathrm{bel}} (\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta e^{-L_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)} \int L_t (\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t) d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}$
- 3. Selecting $L_t(x_t)$ to be a quadratic function with a constant $\int L_t(x_{t-1}, x_t) dx_{t-1}$; as a result, $\overline{\text{bel}}(x_t) = \eta e^{-L_t(x_t)}$
- 4. Determining $L_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ from L_t and $L_t(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t)$; this results in a quadratic function, which means that $\overline{\mathrm{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$ is a Gaussian distribution
 - For the mean of the updated distribution is determined by the minimum of $L_t(x_t)$ over x_t
 - ► The covariance is determined by the curvature of $L_t(x_t)$, namely the inverse of the second derivative of $L_t(x_t)$ with respect to x_t

















The derivation of the measurement update also involves multiple steps:

1. Substituting $p(\boldsymbol{z}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_t)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$ into $\mathrm{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$; as both of them are Gaussian distributions; the belief is of the form $\mathrm{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta e^{-J_t}$, where J_t is a quadratic function









- 1. Substituting $p(\boldsymbol{z}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_t)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$ into $\mathrm{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$; as both of them are Gaussian distributions; the belief is of the form $\mathrm{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta e^{-J_t}$, where J_t is a quadratic function
- 2. Determining μ_t by the minimum of the derivative of J_t









- 1. Substituting $p(\boldsymbol{z}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_t)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$ into $\mathrm{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$; as both of them are Gaussian distributions; the belief is of the form $\mathrm{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta e^{-J_t}$, where J_t is a quadratic function
- 2. Determining μ_t by the minimum of the derivative of J_t
- 3. Manipulating K_t , which at this point is expressed as $K_t = \Sigma_t C_t^T Q_t^{-1}$, so that the dependence on Σ_t is eliminated











- 1. Substituting $p(\boldsymbol{z}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_t)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{bel}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})$ into $\mathrm{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_t)$; as both of them are Gaussian distributions; the belief is of the form $\mathrm{bel}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) = \eta e^{-J_t}$, where J_t is a quadratic function
- 2. Determining μ_t by the minimum of the derivative of J_t
- 3. Manipulating K_t , which at this point is expressed as $K_t = \Sigma_t C_t^T Q_t^{-1}$, so that the dependence on Σ_t is eliminated
- 4. Calculating the covariance matrix Σ_t as the inverse of the second derivative of J_t







Inversion Lemma (Sherman–Morrison–Woodbury Identity)

- ► The Kalman filter derivation makes use of a matrix identity called the inversion lemma
- ▶ The inversion lemma is expressed as

$$(A + PQR)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}P(Q^{-1} + RA^{-1}P)^{-1}RA^{-1}$$

Both the state update and the measurement update use this identity for rewriting expressions during the derivation









The linearity assumption of the Kalman filter is rather limiting — the motion and measurement models for a robot are often expressed by non-linear relations

Hochschule Bonn-Rhein-Sieg University of Applied Sciences







- The linearity assumption of the Kalman filter is rather limiting the motion and measurement models for a robot are often expressed by non-linear relations
- In this case, the motion model is expressed by a non-linear function

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{t} = g\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}\right) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, R_t)$ is process noise as before









- The linearity assumption of the Kalman filter is rather limiting the motion and measurement models for a robot are often expressed by non-linear relations
- In this case, the motion model is expressed by a non-linear function

$$\boldsymbol{x}_t = g\left(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}\right) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t$$

where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, R_t)$ is process noise as before

► Similarly, the measurement model is now expressed by a non-linear function

 $\boldsymbol{z}_{t}=h\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}
ight)+\boldsymbol{\delta}_{t}$

where $\boldsymbol{\delta}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0,Q_t)$ is again measurement noise









- The linearity assumption of the Kalman filter is rather limiting the motion and measurement models for a robot are often expressed by non-linear relations
- In this case, the motion model is expressed by a non-linear function

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{t} = g\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}\right) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, R_t)$ is process noise as before

► Similarly, the measurement model is now expressed by a non-linear function

 $oldsymbol{z}_t = h\left(oldsymbol{x}_t
ight) + oldsymbol{\delta}_t$

where $\boldsymbol{\delta}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0,Q_t)$ is again measurement noise

► The Kalman filter needs to be extended so that it can be used in the non-linear case; we will now take a brief look at some extensions to make this possible





Extended Kalman Filter (EKF)



The idea behind the extended Kalman filter is simple: a first-order Taylor approximation of the non-linear models is performed to eliminate the non-linearity









Extended Kalman Filter (EKF)



- The idea behind the extended Kalman filter is simple: a first-order Taylor approximation of the non-linear models is performed to eliminate the non-linearity
- ► The motion model is linearised around μ_{t-1} : $g(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}) \approx g(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1}) + g'(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1})(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1})$ $= g(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1}) + G(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1})$

where ${\boldsymbol{G}}$ is the Jacobian of ${\boldsymbol{g}}$







Extended Kalman Filter (EKF)



- The idea behind the extended Kalman filter is simple: a first-order Taylor approximation of the non-linear models is performed to eliminate the non-linearity
- The motion model is linearised around μ_{t-1} :

$$g(u_t, x_{t-1}) \approx g(u_t, \mu_{t-1}) + g'(u_t, \mu_{t-1})(x_{t-1} - \mu_{t-1})$$

= $g(u_t, \mu_{t-1}) + G(x_{t-1} - \mu_{t-1})$

where ${\boldsymbol{G}}$ is the Jacobian of ${\boldsymbol{g}}$

▶ The measurement model is linearised around $\overline{\mu}_t$:

$$h(\boldsymbol{x}_t) \approx h(\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t) + h'(\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t)(\boldsymbol{x}_t - \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t)$$
$$= h(\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t) + H(\boldsymbol{x}_t - \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t)$$

where \boldsymbol{H} is the Jacobian of \boldsymbol{h}





These linearised estimates then represent the means in the Gaussian motion and measurement models

1. The state is updated based on the motion according to the non-linear motion model:

 $\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t = g(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1})$









1. The state is updated based on the motion according to the non-linear motion model:

 $\overline{\mu}_t = g(u_t, \mu_{t-1})$

2. The covariance is updated based on the motion by considering the linearised motion model and the motion noise:

$$\overline{\Sigma}_t = G_t \Sigma_{t-1} G_t^T + R^t$$







1. The state is updated based on the motion according to the non-linear motion model:

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t = g(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1})$$

2. The covariance is updated based on the motion by considering the linearised motion model and the motion noise:

$$\overline{\Sigma_t} = G_t \Sigma_{t-1} G_t^T + R^t$$

3. The Kalman gain is computed by considering the linearised measurement model, the updated covariance, and the measurement noise:

$$\boldsymbol{K_t} = \overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t} \boldsymbol{H}_t^T \left(\boldsymbol{H}_t \, \overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t} \, \boldsymbol{H}_t^T + \boldsymbol{Q}_t \right)^{-1}$$









1. The state is updated based on the motion according to the non-linear motion model:

 $\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t = g(\boldsymbol{u}_t, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1})$

2. The covariance is updated based on the motion by considering the linearised motion model and the motion noise:

$$\overline{\Sigma_t} = G_t \Sigma_{t-1} G_t^T + R^t$$

3. The Kalman gain is computed by considering the linearised measurement model, the updated covariance, and the measurement noise:

$$K_{t} = \overline{\Sigma}_{t} H_{t}^{T} \left(H_{t} \overline{\Sigma}_{t} H_{t}^{T} + Q_{t} \right)^{-1}$$

4. The state and the covariance are updated based on the measurement

$$\boldsymbol{\mu_t} = \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}_t} + K_t \left(\boldsymbol{z}_t - h \left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}_t} \right) \right)$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma_t} = \left(I - K_t H_t \right) \overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t}$$







Side-by-Side Comparison of the Linear and Extended Kalman Filters

Linear Kalman filter

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{t} = A_{t}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1} + B_{t}\boldsymbol{u}_{t}$$

$$\overline{\Sigma}_{t} = A_{t}\Sigma_{t-1}A_{t}^{T} + R_{t}$$

$$K_{t} = \overline{\Sigma}_{t}C_{t}^{T}\left(C_{t}\overline{\Sigma}_{t}C_{t}^{T} + Q_{t}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{t} = \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{t} + K_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{t} - C_{t}\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{t}\right)$$

$$\Sigma_{t} = \left(I - K_{t}C_{t}\right)\overline{\Sigma}_{t}$$

Extended Kalman filter

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{t} &= g(\boldsymbol{u}_{t}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t-1}) \\ \overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{t} &= G_{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t-1} G_{t}^{T} + R^{t} \\ K_{t} &= \overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{t} H_{t}^{T} \left(H_{t} \overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{t} H_{t}^{T} + Q_{t} \right)^{-1} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t} &= \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{t} + K_{t} \left(\boldsymbol{z}_{t} - h \left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{t} \right) \right) \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t} &= \left(I - K_{t} H_{t} \right) \overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{t} \end{aligned}$$









Unscented Kalman Filter (UKF)





The unscented Kalman filter performs linearisation using an unscented transform









Unscented Kalman Filter (UKF)





- The unscented Kalman filter performs linearisation using an unscented transform
- The idea behind the unscented transform is to approximate the non-linear distribution using sigma points selected from the distribution; these are passed through the non-linear functions to update the distribution's mean and covariance









Unscented Kalman Filter (UKF)





- The unscented Kalman filter performs linearisation using an unscented transform
- The idea behind the unscented transform is to approximate the non-linear distribution using sigma points selected from the distribution; these are passed through the non-linear functions to update the distribution's mean and covariance
- ► The unscented Kalman filter selects sigma points from bel (x_{t-1}) and bel (x_t) to linearise the motion and measurement models









Unscented Transform



The transform selects 2n + 1 sigma points for an *n*-dimensional $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ using the rule

$$p_0 = \mu$$

$$p_i = \mu + \left(\sqrt{(n+\lambda)\Sigma}\right), 1 \le i \le n$$

$$p_i = \mu - \left(\sqrt{(n+\lambda)\Sigma}\right), n+1 \le i \le 2n$$

with $\lambda = \alpha^2(n+\kappa) - n - \alpha$ and κ determine the locations of the points







Unscented Transform



The transform selects 2n + 1 sigma points for an *n*-dimensional $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ using the rule

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{p}_0 &= oldsymbol{\mu} \ oldsymbol{p}_i &= oldsymbol{\mu} + \left(\sqrt{(n+\lambda)\Sigma}
ight), 1 \leq i \leq n \ oldsymbol{p}_i &= oldsymbol{\mu} - \left(\sqrt{(n+\lambda)\Sigma}
ight), n+1 \leq i \leq 2n \end{aligned}$$

with $\lambda = \alpha^2(n+\kappa) - n$ — α and κ determine the locations of the points

The mean and covariance are computed as weighted averages over the sigma points:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}' = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} w_i^{\mu} g(\boldsymbol{p}_i)$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}' = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} w_i^{\Sigma} \left(g(\boldsymbol{p}_i) - \boldsymbol{\mu}' \right) \left(g(\boldsymbol{p}_i) - \boldsymbol{\mu}' \right)^T$$







Unscented Transform

Hochschule

University of Applied Sciences



The transform selects 2n + 1 sigma points for an *n*-dimensional $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ using the rule

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{p}_0 &= oldsymbol{\mu} \ oldsymbol{p}_i &= oldsymbol{\mu} + \left(\sqrt{(n+\lambda)\Sigma}
ight), 1 \leq i \leq n \ oldsymbol{p}_i &= oldsymbol{\mu} - \left(\sqrt{(n+\lambda)\Sigma}
ight), n+1 \leq i \leq 2n \end{aligned}$$

with $\lambda = \alpha^2(n+\kappa) - n$ — α and κ determine the locations of the points

The mean and covariance are computed as weighted averages over the sigma points:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}' = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} w_i^{\mu} g(\boldsymbol{p}_i)$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}' = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} w_i^{\Sigma} \left(g(\boldsymbol{p}_i) - \boldsymbol{\mu}' \right) \left(g(\boldsymbol{p}_i) - \boldsymbol{\mu}' \right)^T$$

The weights are calculated as

$$\begin{split} w_0^{\mu} &= \frac{\lambda}{n+\lambda} \\ w_0^{\Sigma} &= \frac{\lambda}{n+\lambda} \left(1 - \alpha^2 + \beta\right) \\ w_i^{\mu} &= w_i^{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{2(n+\lambda)}, 1 \le i \le 2n \end{split}$$



- Localisation is the problem of determining a robot's pose in an environment
- The recursive Bayes filter is a family of algorithms that can be used for state estimation in general and localisation in particular
- ► The Kalman filter is one particular type of Bayes filter that represents the state by a Gaussian distribution and assumes linear motion and measurement models
- Extension of the Kalman filter, such as the extended Kalman Filter (EKF) and the unscented Kalman filter (UKF), can be used to deal with non-linear motion and measurement models





